Syntactic Cut Elimination for an Infinitary System for Common Knowledge

> Kai Brünnler (joint work with Thomas Studer)

# Outline

#### The Logic of Common Knowledge The language A Hilbert System

#### 2 A Gentzen-style Sequent System The Problem for Cut Elimination

#### 3 A Deep Sequent System

Some Background on Deep Inference Deep Sequents A Deep Sequent System Embedding the Hilbert System Cut Elimination

# The Logic of Common Knowledge

Formulas

 $A ::= p \mid \bar{p} \mid (A \lor A) \mid (A \land A) \mid \diamondsuit_i A \mid \Box_i A \mid \circledast A \mid \blacksquare A$ 

# The Logic of Common Knowledge

#### Formulas

$$A ::= p \mid \bar{p} \mid (A \lor A) \mid (A \land A) \mid \diamondsuit_i A \mid \Box_i A \mid \circledast A \mid \blacksquare A$$

## Everybody knows

$$\Box A = \Box_1 A \land \ldots \land \Box_h A \quad \text{and} \quad \Diamond A = \Diamond_1 A \lor \ldots \lor \Diamond_h A.$$

# The Logic of Common Knowledge

#### Formulas

$$A ::= p \mid \bar{p} \mid (A \lor A) \mid (A \land A) \mid \diamondsuit_i A \mid \Box_i A \mid \circledast A \mid \blacksquare A$$

#### Everybody knows

$$\Box A = \Box_1 A \land \ldots \land \Box_h A \quad \text{and} \quad \Diamond A = \Diamond_1 A \lor \ldots \lor \Diamond_h A.$$

Notation

$$\Box^n A = \underbrace{\Box \dots \Box}_{n-\text{times}} A$$

# A Hilbert System

## System $H_C$

$$(K) \qquad \Box_{i}A \land \Box_{i}(A \supset B) \supset \Box_{i}B$$

$$(CCL) \qquad \circledast A \supset (\Box A \land \Box \divideontimes A)$$

$$(IND) \qquad \underline{B \supset (\Box A \land \Box B)} \qquad (NEC) \qquad \underline{A} \qquad \\ \Box_{i}A \qquad \\ (MP) \qquad \underline{A \quad A \supset B} \qquad \\ B \supset \And A$$

## An Infinitary Sequent Calculus



## An Infinitary Sequent Calculus



## An Infinitary Sequent Calculus













 $\sim$ 

Deep Inference in General

• Schütte 1950

Deep Inference in General

- Schütte 1950
- Guglielmi 2001

#### Deep Inference in General

- Schütte 1950
- Guglielmi 2001

## Deep Sequents in Particular

• Kashima 1994, Tanaka 2003

#### Deep Inference in General

- Schütte 1950
- Guglielmi 2001

- Kashima 1994, Tanaka 2003
- Brünnler 2006

#### Deep Inference in General

- Schütte 1950
- Guglielmi 2001

- Kashima 1994, Tanaka 2003
- Brünnler 2006
- Kretz and Studer 2006

#### Deep Inference in General

- Schütte 1950
- Guglielmi 2001

- Kashima 1994, Tanaka 2003
- Brünnler 2006
- Kretz and Studer 2006
- Poggiolesi (PhD student in Florence)

# **Deep Sequents**

#### Definition

A (deep) sequent is a finite multiset of formulas and boxed sequents. A boxed sequent is an expression  $[\Gamma]_i$  where  $\Gamma$  is a sequent and  $1 \le i \le h$ .

#### Notation

As usual, given two sequents  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$ , the comma in the expression  $\Gamma, \Delta$  is multiset union. As usual, we write sequents *without curly braces*.

# Deep Sequents

#### Definition

A (deep) sequent is a finite multiset of formulas and boxed sequents. A boxed sequent is an expression  $[\Gamma]_i$  where  $\Gamma$  is a sequent and  $1 \le i \le h$ .

#### Notation

As usual, given two sequents  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$ , the comma in the expression  $\Gamma, \Delta$  is multiset union. As usual, we write sequents *without curly braces*. So we write

 $A, [[B]_1, C, [D]_2]_3$ 

instead of

 $\{A, [\{[\{B\}]_1, C, [\{D\}]_2\}]_3\}$ 

## **Deep Sequents**

Deep sequents have a tree structure, for example

 $A, [[B]_1, C, [D]_2]_3$ 

has:



#### Fact

A sequent is always of the form

$$A_1,\ldots,A_m,[\Delta_1]_{i_1},\ldots,[\Delta_n]_{i_n}$$
 ,

where the  $i_j$  range from 1 to h.

## Definition

The *corresponding formula* of the above sequent is  $\perp$  if m = n = 0 and otherwise

$$A_1 \lor \cdots \lor A_m \lor \Box_{i_1} D_1 \lor \cdots \lor \Box_{i_n} D_n$$
 ,

where  $D_1 \dots D_n$  are the corresponding formulas of the sequents  $\Delta_1 \dots \Delta_n$ .

# Sequent Contexts

#### Definition

A *context* is a sequent with exactly one occurrence of the symbol  $\{\ \}$ , the *hole*, which does not occur inside formulas. Such contexts are denoted by  $\Gamma\{\ \}$ ,  $\Delta\{\ \}$ , and so on. The hole is also called the *empty context*. The sequent  $\Gamma\{\Delta\}$  is obtained by replacing  $\{\ \}$  inside  $\Gamma\{\ \}$  by  $\Delta$ . For example, if

$$\mathsf{F}\{\ \} = \mathsf{A}, [[B]_1, \{\ \}]_3 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta = \mathsf{C}, [D]_2$$

then

$$\Gamma\{\Delta\} = A, [[B]_1, C, [D]_2]_3$$

## Sequent Contexts

- 1) The symbol { } is a *context*, it is the *empty context*.
- 2) If  $\Delta$  is a sequent and  $\Gamma\{ \}$  is a context then  $\Delta, \Gamma\{ \}$  is a *context*. 3) If  $\Gamma\{ \}$  is a context then  $[\Gamma\{ \}]$  is a *context*.

For a context  $\Gamma\{\ \}$  and a sequent  $\Delta$ ,  $\Gamma\{\Delta\}$  is defined as follows: 1) If  $\Gamma\{\ \} = \{\ \}$  then  $\Gamma\{\Delta\} = \Delta$ . 2) If  $\Gamma\{\ \} = \Sigma, \Lambda\{\ \}$  then  $\Gamma\{\Delta\} = \Sigma, \Lambda\{\Delta\}$ . 3) If  $\Gamma\{\ \} = [\Lambda\{\ \}]$  then  $\Gamma\{\Delta\} = [\Lambda\{\Delta\}]$ .

# A Deep Sequent System

$$\Gamma\{p, \overline{p}\} \wedge \frac{\Gamma\{A\} \quad \Gamma\{B\}}{\Gamma\{A \land B\}} \vee \frac{\Gamma\{A, B\}}{\Gamma\{A \lor B\}}$$

$$\Box_{i} \frac{\Gamma\{[A]_{i}\}}{\Gamma\{\Box_{i}A\}} \quad \diamondsuit_{i} \frac{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit_{i}A, [\Delta, A]_{i}\}}{\Gamma\{\diamondsuit_{i}A, [\Delta]_{i}\}}$$

$$\approx \frac{\Gamma\{\Box^{k}A\} \text{ for all } k \ge 1}{\Gamma\{\circledast A\}} \quad \circledast \frac{\Gamma\{\circledast A, \diamondsuit^{k}A\}}{\Gamma\{\circledast A\}}$$

# Admissible Rules

$$\operatorname{nec} \frac{\Gamma}{[\Gamma]_{i}} \quad \operatorname{wk} \frac{\Gamma\{\emptyset\}}{\Gamma\{\Delta\}} \quad \operatorname{ctr} \frac{\Gamma\{\Delta, \Delta\}}{\Gamma\{\Delta\}}$$
$$\operatorname{cut} \frac{\Gamma\{A\}}{\Gamma\{\emptyset\}}$$

Derivations and proofs.

- derivation in a system S: a well-founded tree whose nodes are labelled with sequents and which is built according to the inference rules from S
- conclusion of a derivation: the sequent at the root
- the premises of a derivation: the sequents at the leaves
- proof of a sequent Γ in a system: a derivation in this system with conclusion Γ where all leaves are axioms.
- $S \vdash \Gamma$ : there is a proof of  $\Gamma$  in system S.

#### Formula rank

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{rk}(p) &= \mathsf{rk}(\bar{p}) = 0 \\ \mathsf{rk}(A \land B) &= \mathsf{rk}(A \lor B) = \mathsf{max}(\mathsf{rk}(A), \mathsf{rk}(B)) + 1 \\ \mathsf{rk}(\Box_i A) &= \mathsf{rk}(\diamondsuit_i A) = \mathsf{rk}(A) + 1 \\ \mathsf{rk}(\textcircled{B} A) &= \mathsf{rk}(\textcircled{A}) = \omega + \mathsf{rk}(A) \end{aligned}$$

#### Formula rank

$$rk(p) = rk(\bar{p}) = 0$$
  

$$rk(A \land B) = rk(A \lor B) = max(rk(A), rk(B)) + 1$$
  

$$rk(\Box_i A) = rk(\diamondsuit_i A) = rk(A) + 1$$
  

$$rk(\circledast A) = rk(\circledast A) = \omega + rk(A)$$

## Lemma (Some properties of the rank) (i) $rk(A) = rk(\overline{A})$ , (ii) there are $m, n < \omega$ such that $rk(A) = \omega \cdot m + n$ , (iii) for all $k < \omega$ we have $rk(\Box^k A) < rk(\mathbb{B}A)$ .

#### Cut rank

- cut rank of an instance of cut: rank of its cut formula
- $\operatorname{cut}_{\gamma}$ : cut with at most rank  $\gamma$
- $\operatorname{cut}_{<\gamma}$ : cut with a rank strictly smaller than  $\gamma$ .
- S |<sup>α</sup>/<sub>γ</sub> Γ: there is a proof of Γ in system S + cut<sub><γ</sub> with depth bounded by α.

#### Admissibility and invertibility.

- An inference rule ρ with premises Γ<sub>1</sub>, Γ<sub>2</sub>... and conclusion Δ is *depth- and cut-rank-preserving admissible* for a system S if whenever S |<sub>γ</sub> Γ<sub>i</sub> for each premise Γ<sub>i</sub> then S |<sub>γ</sub> Δ.
- For each rule ρ there is its *inverse*, denoted by ρ
  , which has the conclusion of ρ as its only premise and any premise of ρ as its conclusion.
- An inference rule ρ is depth- and cut-rank-preserving invertible for a system S if γ̄ is depth- and cut-rank preserving admissible for S.

Lemma (Admissibility of the structural rules)

For system D<sub>C</sub> the following hold: (i) The necessitation, weakening and contraction rules are depthand cut-rank-preserving admissible. (ii) All rules are depth- and cut-rank-preserving invertible. Lemma (Admissibility of the structural rules) For system D<sub>C</sub> the following hold: (i) The necessitation, weakening and contraction rules are depthand cut-rank-preserving admissible. (ii) All rules are depth- and cut-rank-preserving invertible.

# Lemma (Admissibility of the general identity axiom) For all contexts $\Gamma\{ \}$ and all formulas A we have $D_{C} \models \frac{2 \cdot rk(A)}{0} \Gamma\{A, \overline{A}\}.$

Theorem (Embedding the Hilbert system) If  $H_C \vdash A$  then there are  $m, n < \omega$  such that  $D_C \mid_{\omega \cdot n}^{\omega \cdot m} A$ . Proof

$$(\text{IND}) \frac{B \supset (\Box A \land \Box B)}{B \supset \circledast A}$$

by induction hypothesis  $D_C \mid_{\overline{\omega} \cdot m_1}^{\omega \cdot m_1} B \supset (\Box A \land \Box B)$ by invertibility  $D_C \mid_{\overline{\omega} \cdot m_1}^{\omega \cdot m_1} \overline{B}, \Box B$  and  $D_C \mid_{\overline{\omega} \cdot m_1}^{\omega \cdot m_1} \overline{B}, \Box A$ . by induction on k: for all  $k \ge 1$  there is an  $m_{2k} < \omega$  such that  $D_C \mid_{\overline{\omega} \cdot m_1}^{\omega \cdot m_1 + m_{2k}} \overline{B}, \Box^k A$ 

#### Proof (continued)



$$\begin{array}{c} & \overline{B}, \Box A \quad \dots \quad \overline{B}, \Box^{k} A \quad \dots \\ & & \underbrace{\overline{B}, \circledast A}_{\substack{\vee \\ = \frac{\overline{B}, \circledast A}{\overline{B} \lor \circledast A}}}_{B \supset \circledast A}
\end{array}$$

## Veblen Function

The binary Veblen function  $\varphi$  is generated inductively as follows:

- $\ \, {\bf 0} \ \, \varphi_{0}\beta:=\omega^{\beta},$
- 2 if  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $\varphi_{\alpha}\beta$  denotes the  $\beta$ th common fixpoint of the functions  $\lambda \xi. \varphi_{\gamma}\xi$  for  $\gamma < \alpha$ .

Lemma (Reduction Lemma) If there is a proof



with  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  in  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{C}} + \mathsf{cut}_{<\gamma}$  then  $\lfloor \frac{|\pi_1| \# |\pi_2|}{\gamma} \mathsf{\Gamma}\{\emptyset\}$ .

(passive):



(passive):



 $(\land - \lor)$ :



 $(\land - \lor)$ :





 $\sim$ 

## $(\Box_i - \diamondsuit_i)$ :



## $(\Box_i - \diamondsuit_i)$ :



 $\sim$ 



(\* - \*):



(\* - \*):



 $\sim$ 



# First Elimination Lemma If $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma+1} \Gamma$ then $\frac{2^{\alpha}}{\gamma} \Gamma$ .

First Elimination Lemma If  $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma+1} \Gamma$  then  $\frac{2^{\alpha}}{\gamma} \Gamma$ .

Second Elimination Lemma If  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta+\omega^{\gamma}}$   $\Gamma$  then  $\frac{\varphi_{\gamma}\alpha}{\beta}$   $\Gamma$ .

First Elimination Lemma If  $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma+1} \Gamma$  then  $\frac{2^{\alpha}}{\gamma} \Gamma$ .

Second Elimination Lemma If  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta+\omega^{\gamma}}$   $\Gamma$  then  $\frac{\varphi_{\gamma}\alpha}{\beta}$   $\Gamma$ .

Theorem (Cut Elimination) If A is a valid formula then  $\left|\frac{\varphi_2 0}{0}\right| A$ .

#### Future work

- Extend the result to Alberucci/Jäger's system
- Extend the result to S5-based common knowledge
- Extend the result to the  $\mu$ -calculus
- Find lower bounds
- Do syntactic cut elimination in a finitary system